



Editorial: Early Moral Cognition and Behavior

Kelsey Lucca^{1,2*}, J. Kiley Hamlin³ and Jessica A. Sommerville^{1,4}

¹ Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States, ² Psychology Department, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, United States, ³ Department of Psychology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁴ Department of Psychology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Keywords: moral cognition, social cognition, infancy, early childhood, moral development

Editorial on the Research Topic

Early Moral Cognition and Behavior

To date, research on moral cognition and behavior has focused primarily on children and adults—leaving open critical questions surrounding earlier developmental origins of morality. This special issue presents an integrative collection of pioneering research in early moral cognition and behavior that fills this gap. This work investigates a range of timely and important questions surrounding the extents of early moral cognition and behavior, demonstrating that human infants and young children have an unmatched flexibility in their thinking and acting in the moral domain: within the first several years of life, moral representations are quite robust, flexible, and complex in nature. This work also sheds light on sources of variability in moral cognition and behavior, such as interactions in the home environment, a previously understudied topic. And finally, this research provides novel insights into continuities and discontinuities in moral behavior and cognition across ages (i.e., 4 months to middle childhood), populations (i.e., children with autism, children from non-Western countries), and species (i.e., dogs). This research employs a range of methodological techniques, such as pupillometry, behavioral experiments, and large-scale survey studies that span diverse theoretical approaches, including computational modeling and constructivism. In sum, the papers in this issue stress four main themes: the extents and boundaries of early moral cognition, diverse populations and approaches, factors that moderate moral thinking and action, and new theoretical frameworks for understanding moral cognition. Here, we address each of these themes in turn, and highlight how these papers demonstrate that early moral cognition and behavior, starting in early infancy and extending into early childhood, is highly flexible, shaped in important ways by various contextual and experiential factors, and continuous across cultures and development.

The first set of papers tackle important questions regarding the extents and boundaries of early moral representations by probing infants' reasoning about the social world. Existing work has established that very young infants are sensitive to nice and mean actions: they prefer those who help over those who hinder. After infants' first birthday, they demonstrate a similar sensitivity to fairness, preferring those who behave fairly to those who don't. However, research has yet to examine these two important dimensions of morality in tandem, leaving open critical questions about the similarity in timeline of these traits, and whether infants' judgements are simply temporary evaluations, or whether they view these traits as enduring and stable behavioral dispositions. Surian et al. demonstrate that by 14 months, infants expect individuals who have previously helped (as opposed to harmed) others to be fair in future interactions, demonstrating that infants link the domains of harm, help, and fairness, and may attribute moral "traits" to others. Previous research on fairness expectations in the first year of life has yielded mixed results: some research has found that young infants expect third parties

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Carmelo Mario Vicario,
University of Messina, Italy

Reviewed by:

Chiara Lucifora,
Università Degli Studi di Messina, Italy

*Correspondence:

Kelsey Lucca
KLUCCA@ASU.EDU

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Developmental Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 12 August 2019

Accepted: 19 August 2019

Published: xx August 2019

Citation:

Lucca K, Hamlin JK and
Sommerville JA (2019) Editorial: Early
Moral Cognition and Behavior.
Front. Psychol. 10:2013.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02013

115 to act fairly, whereas other research has not. In a series of four
116 experiments, Dawkins et al. resolve these disparate findings by
117 demonstrating the precise conditions under which early fairness
118 expectations exist: 4- and 9-month-olds are sensitive to fairness,
119 but only when distributions are small and markedly different
120 from each other, highlighting that although fairness expectations
121 emerge early in development, there are also important limits
122 to these expectations. Tabora-Osorio et al. further probe the
123 extents of infants' sociomoral representations by asking whether
124 infants perceive sociomoral dispositions as a deep and identity-
125 determining features. Using an object individuation task, they
126 find that infants interpret sociomoral actions (i.e., helping,
127 hindering) as stable behavioral dispositions. Together, this
128 research moves beyond past work by showing infants' fairness
129 understanding emerges earlier than previously thought, and is
130 flexible and cohesive across domains—highlighting that infants'
131 judgements about the moral behavior of others are not just
132 fleeting evaluations, but a true understanding of the behavioral
133 dispositions that underlie the actions of others.

134 Historically, the field of early moral cognition and behavior
135 has been dominated by research with children from western,
136 educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic (WEIRD)
137 societies, raising important questions about universality of
138 early moral cognition and sources of variability. The research
139 in this collection alters the course of this narrative by working
140 with understudied populations. In an experiment examining
141 patterns of attention to prosocial events, Hepach and Herrmann
142 find important continuities across cultures and ages: children
143 from 3 to 9 years in both Germany and Zambia show similar
144 pupillary responses to helping scenarios, and process social
145 information similarly: they are better equipped to anticipate the
146 solution to social (compared to non-social) problems. Chernyak
147 et al. also investigate moral cognition and behavior in Zambian
148 children, and similarly find important cross-cultural similarities:
149 across cultures, rates of prosociality are scaled to the cost of
150 the action. They also identify a range of cultural factors that
151 contribute to individual differences in moral cognition, such as
152 parental perception of inequality. The field, prior to this special
153 issue, has also been limited in that conclusions typically rest on
154 experiments conducted with neurotypical children. Dunfield
155 et al. tackle this issue by studying children diagnosed with
156 Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and find that children with
157 ASD engage in similar levels of helping and sharing as typically
158 developing (TD) children. However, children with ASD are less
159 inclined to engage in prosocial behaviors when the cost of acting
160 is high—thereby emphasizing that social cognition and social
161 motivation combined are critical features of prosocial behavior
162 across diverse groups.

163 The articles presented in this collection also diversify the field
164 by utilizing novel approaches. Using a large-scale online survey,
165 Hammond and Brownell map the developmental trajectory of
166 early helping behaviors and demonstrate that children's earliest
167 helping behaviors are driven by social engagement, praise, and
168 fun, and that these motivations differentiate and expand across
169 development to also include more altruistic motives. McAuliffe et
170 al. take a comparative approach and ask whether domestic dogs,
171 similar to human infants, form social evaluations based on third

party interactions. Unlike human infants, who prefer helpers over
172 hinderers from a very early age, dogs do not show any preference.
173 In this way, human infants have an unmatched flexibility in their
174 early moral cognition.
175

The last set of empirical papers explore a range of factors
176 that moderate morally-relevant behavior and cognition. Prior
177 to this collection, little was known about the relative weighting
178 of different factors in moral-decision making at different stages
179 of development. The papers by Van de Vondervoort et al.
180 and Fedra and Schmidt illustrate that intentionality plays a
181 fundamental role in early social reasoning. Van de Vondervoort
182 et al. demonstrate that young children privilege intentions
183 over outcomes when making moral judgements about helping
184 and hindering agents. Fedra and Schmidt show that children's
185 reasoning about the moral behaviors of others goes beyond
186 actions that are intrinsically helpful and harmful, and extend to
187 verbal actions that reveal intentions to help or harm, such as
188 factual statements and assertions. This work highlights that the
189 ability to inspect and appraise the moral consequences of what
190 people say, and reason about the underlying intentional structure
191 of actions, is an important feature of mature moral reasoning
192 present early in life.
193

The papers by Lee et al. and Misch et al. demonstrate that
194 group membership is another key factor involved in moral
195 decision making. Their work illustrates that children treat
196 both in-group and out-group members fairly, but will override
197 fairness concerns in favor of group loyalty when resources are
198 limited. Misch et al. examine how children navigate the tension
199 between standing up for what's right and remaining loyal to a
200 group: when the stakes for the group are low, after a minor
201 transgression, children blow the whistle on both ingroup and
202 outgroup transgressors—but when there stakes for the group are
203 high, after a severe moral transgression, children are less likely to
204 blow the whistle on an ingroup member.
205

Prior to this special issue, cohesive theoretical frameworks for
206 explaining where prosocial tendencies come from and how they
207 lead to prosocial actions were missing from the literature, making
208 it difficult to interpret and make sense of empirical findings. The
209 final set of papers, by Dahl and Killen and Bridgers and Gweon,
210 offer novel theoretical perspectives on the origins of morality.
211 In addition to providing a comprehensive and integrative
212 definition of morality—"prescriptive norms concerning others'
213 welfare, rights, fairness, and justice"—Dahl and Killen take
214 a constructivist approach to interpreting the evidence on the
215 developmental trajectory of morality, arguing that early morality
216 is neither innate nor learned, but rather constructed through
217 reciprocal interactions. Bridgers and Gweon also explore the
218 question of why and how prosocial behaviors develop, with an
219 eye toward explaining why certain behaviors tend to emerge
220 earlier and with less prompting than others. They argue that
221 deconstructing early prosocial behaviors into complex decision-
222 making processes, and developing computational models that
223 formalize these processes, can help elucidate the developmental
224 trajectory of moral development.
225

Together, this collection highlights that human infants and
226 children demonstrate an unmatched flexibility in their thinking
227 and acting in the moral domain. This collection also points to
228

229 constraints on early moral cognition and behaviors, and help
 230 elucidate the contexts in which these constraints exist—such as
 231 when group membership is at stake or when the processing load
 232 is too high. Though these papers make large strides in moving the
 233 field forward toward a more cohesive and stable representation of
 234 early morality, they also pose important questions and challenges
 235 for the field moving forward. For example, although much of the
 236 work presented here is suggestive of promising applications for
 237 fostering early moral concerns and behaviors, both the degree
 238 to which a “moral sense” is malleable and the long-term effects
 239 of early attempts at intervention remain unknown. Future work
 240 in this vein, coupled with the advancements presented in this
 241 collection, will help construct a more unified understanding of
 242 the origins and development of morality.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KL drafted the editorial. JS and JH provided critical feedback. All authors contributed equally to editing this special issue.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Lucca, Hamlin and Sommerville. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

243		286
244		287
245		288
246		289
247		290
248		291
249		292
250		293
251		294
252		295
253		296
254		297
255		298
256		299
257		300
258		301
259		302
260		303
261		304
262		305
263		306
264		307
265		308
266		309
267		310
268		311
269		312
270		313
271		314
272		315
273		316
274		317
275		318
276		319
277		320
278		321
279		322
280		323
281		324
282		325
283		326
284		327
285		328
		329
		330
		331
		332
		333
		334
		335
		336
		337
		338
		339
		340
		341
		342